

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

## Item 4 – Paper B

### **LGPS Cross Pool Information Forum – Consultation results**

1.1 At its meeting on the 26<sup>th</sup> June, the Board agreed in principle that an elected member led Cross Pool Information Forum should be established to share and disseminate information on the pooling of LGPS assets. It was also agreed that Chairs of LGPS Pension Committees should be consulted on the remit, membership and frequency of the proposed forum.

1.2 A consultation was run from 17<sup>th</sup> July 2017 to 29<sup>th</sup> September 2017 (10 weeks). The consultation document was sent to LGPS Pension Committee Chairs and hosted on the Board website:

<http://www.lgpsboard.org/index.php/board-publications/board-consultations>

1.3 The secretariat received a total of 17 responses to the consultation, including ‘joint’ responses on behalf of the LGPS investment pool groups.

1.4 A summary of the 17 responses is included at Annex 1.

**Recommendation – that the committee considers the survey responses and agrees a form of wording to present to the Board meeting on the 16<sup>th</sup> November.**

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

## Annex 1

### **LGPS Cross Pool Forum Survey Outcome**

## The Consultation Process and How to Respond

### Scope of the consultation

|                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Topic of this consultation:</b> | Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS)<br>Cross Pool Information Forum   |
| <b>Scope of this consultation:</b> | This consultation seeks responses from Chairs of LGPS pension committees on the remit, membership and frequency of the proposed forum. |
| <b>Geographical scope:</b>         | England & Wales  |

### Basic Information

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>To:</b>                                    | This consultation is aimed at Chairs of LGPS pension committees.            |
| <b>Body responsible for the consultation:</b> | LGPS Advisory Board   |
| <b>Duration:</b>                              | 17 <sup>th</sup> July 2017 to 29 <sup>th</sup> September 2017<br>(10 weeks) |

### Background

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Getting to this stage:</b> | At the meeting of the Scheme Advisory Board on the 26th June, it was agreed in principle that an elected member led Cross Pool Information Forum should be established to share and disseminate information on the pooling of LGPS assets. It was also agreed that the Chairs of LGPS pension committees should be consulted on the remit, membership and frequency of the proposed forum. |
|-------------------------------|--|

### Help with queries

Questions about this consultation should be sent to the email addresses given below.

### Who this consultation is aimed at?

The following consultation is aimed at **LGPS Chairs of Pension Committees**. The consultation is available via the Scheme Advisory Board's website [www.lgpsboard.org](http://www.lgpsboard.org).

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

The Board has issued the consultation to the following contacts directly:

- LGPS Chairs of Pension Committees
- LGPS Fund Investment Contacts

## How to respond

You should respond to this consultation by **29th September 2017**.

You can respond by email to [Liam.Robson@local.gov.uk](mailto:Liam.Robson@local.gov.uk) and [Robert.Holloway@local.gov.uk](mailto:Robert.Holloway@local.gov.uk). Email responses are preferred.

When responding, please ensure you have the words “LGPS Cross Pool Information Forum” in the email subject line.

Alternately you can write to:

Bob Holloway, Pension Secretary  
LGPS Cross Pool Information Forum Consultation  
Scheme Advisory Board Secretariat  
Local Government Association  
Layden House, 76-86 Turnmill Street,  
London, EC1 M 5LG

When responding, please state whether you are responding as an individual or representing the views of the Pension Committee.

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

## Consultation

### Introduction

- 1.1 At the meeting of the Scheme Advisory Board on the 26th June, it was agreed in principle that an elected member led Cross Pool Information Forum should be established to share and disseminate information on the pooling of LGPS assets. It was also agreed that the Chairs of LGPS pension committees should be consulted on the remit, membership and frequency of the proposed forum.
- 1.2 The same consultation should also seek views on whether a one off open session on progress towards pooling should be organised with an invitation going to all chairs of pension committees and local pension boards.
- 1.3 **The closing date for responses is 29<sup>th</sup> September 2017.**

### Part 1 - Cross Pool Information Forum (CPF)

- 1.4 It is **not** proposed that the CPF be a decision making body nor would its content be technical in nature. It is proposed that its remit would be to receive, share and disseminate information across the pools as well as providing a platform to exchange best practice and items of cross pool interest.
- 1.5 Do you agree that the remit of the CPF should be limited to receiving, sharing and disseminating information as described above?

Yes No

11

6

- 1.6 **If you answer “no”, please describe below what you think the remit of the CPF should be.**

*In General, the comments were along the lines of agreement in principle, but that the formation now might not be the right time, or in a couple of cases that the forum was unnecessary.*

- 1.7 It is proposed that membership of the CPF would be open to a maximum of three nominations from the member administering authorities of each pool. The nominations may all be elected members or include a mixture of elected members and others.
- 1.8 In line with the SAB’s previously published statement on pool representation the determination of nominees should include the consideration of the provision of direct representation for scheme members.
- 1.9 Do you agree that membership should be as set out above?

Yes No

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

10 7

**If you answer “no”, please describe below how you think membership should be structured.**

Varying views were express from; it should be up to the individual pools to decide, to only elected members, to the support for member representation.

1.10 It is proposed that the CPF should meet at least quarterly.

1.11 Do you agree that meetings should be held at least quarterly?

Yes No

10 7

**If you answer “no”, please explain below how often you think the CPF should meet.**

The comments, in line with the above, indicated that meeting twice a year may be viewed as more appropriate. The issues of time and resources was also raised.

1.12 It is proposed that the Chair of the CPF should be selected from amongst the forum’s membership. Do you agree?

Yes No

9 8

**If you answer “no”, please explain below how you think the Chair should be selected.**

Split of views between elected from the group to the chair should be independent.

1.13 Given the proposed remit of the CPF as a non-decision making body, it is not proposed to include in its remit any arrangements with respect to voting.

1.14 Do you agree that voting arrangements should not be included in the remit?

Yes No

15 2

**If you answer “no”, please explain below why you think voting arrangements should be included.**

Reasons given for voting included responding to consultations and voting on whether or not action should be taken.

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee

1.15 It is further proposed that the Scheme Advisory Board's Secretariat should support the administration of the CPF in terms of arranging meetings, venues, etc.

1.16 Do you agree that the Secretariat should support the CPF in this way?

Yes No

16

1

**If you answer "no", please explain below how you think administration of the CPF should be supported.**

General agreement with the above, but again, concerns were raised over the value the forum may add, costs and officer time and resource.

## Part 2 - Open Session

1.17 In order to better enable the open and wide dissemination of information, it is proposed that a session for all chairs of pension committees and local pension boards on the progress towards pooling should be organised.

1.18 Do you agree that an open session of this type would be helpful?

Yes No

16

1

**Please use the space below if you wish to expand on your response.**

It was suggested that there could be two sessions to maximise attendance, compliance and reporting should be the focus rather than detail of pooled funds and support for attendance from a wider stakeholder group.

1.19 If no, please explain that you would change or add and why.

No further comments received.

## Part 3 – Respondent details

1.20 Please complete the table below with administrating authority and contact details.

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Administering Authority: | <a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a> |
| Contact name:            | <a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a> |
| Email address:           | <a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a> |

# Investment, Governance and Engagement Sub Committee